



**A Substantial, Strategic and Sustained Plan for Economic Revival**

December 2008

The Institute for America's Future is a center of nonpartisan research and education. Its mission is to equip Americans with the tools and information needed to drive issues into the national debate, challenge failed conservative policies and build support for the progressive vision of a government that is on the side of working people. To achieve our mission, IAF spearheads the development of a compelling progressive economic agenda and message—which makes clear what progressives stand for, articulates the philosophy and values underlying these policies, and frames and argues for them in new ways that will resonate with the majority of average Americans. IAF also regularly convenes and educates progressive leaders, organizations, candidates, opinion-makers, and activists to encourage and facilitate their adoption and use of a common economic agenda and message so that our collective voices echo powerfully. Finally, IAF acts as an incubator of national campaigns in which progressives join together to form policies that advance economic prosperity and opportunity for lower and middle income Americans.

**INSTITUTE <sup>F</sup>OR AMERICA'S FUTURE**  
*ourfuture.org*

1825 K STREET NW • SUITE 400 • WASHINGTON DC 20006 • (T) 202 955-5665 • (F) 202 955-5606 • WWW.OURFUTURE.ORG

## A MAIN STREET RECOVERY PROGRAM

### Executive Summary

Our economy now faces the most serious crisis since the Great Depression. The financial crisis that was triggered by the bursting of the housing bubble has now spread to the real economy, and we face a sharp downturn that is spreading across the globe. A serious recession now seems unavoidable in the United States, as well as Europe and Japan. The developing world is already struggling with financial turmoil and economic decline. For the first time since the 1930s, we face a real risk of deep worldwide economic contraction.

Restoring economic growth will require a bold, multifaceted plan. This must begin with a recovery program for Main Street – substantial fiscal expansion to revive the real economy.

With a deep and long global downturn now likely, any plan for reviving the economy should be **substantial, strategic, and sustained**. It should also be coordinated with simultaneous efforts across the world.

Reviving our nearly \$15 trillion economy will require **substantial** fiscal expansion. With interest rates already low, monetary policy can provide little help. The decline in consumption brought by the collapse of housing and stock prices has already been dramatic. Now states and localities must cut spending or raise taxes to balance budgets. Exports are declining as the world economy slows.

**Three percent of GDP – about \$450 billion each year for two years, a total of \$900 billion – should define the floor, not the ceiling, of what needs to be done.**

The plan must be **strategic**, focused on public investment in areas vital to strengthening America's long-term competitiveness. Public investments are far more efficient at stimulating the economy than tax cuts for individuals or businesses. The money allocated will be spent and will produce jobs here. And public investment provides a long-term return in the higher productivity of transport on modern roads and rail, the higher productivity of better-trained workers, and the new technologies spawned from higher research and development funds. Central to the plan should be investment in green technology, reducing our dependence on foreign oil, and addressing the rising threat of global warming. It must also target the states, funding vital health care and public programs so the recession is not worsened by local budget-cutting. This will also help to maintain the income of the people most likely to spend in the economy.

Finally, the recovery plan must be **sustained**. Two years of deficit-funded stimulus should help the economy recover. But it will take many years of fiscal expansion to move us from an economy driven by booms and busts of asset bubbles to one of sustained and balanced growth.

# A MAIN STREET RECOVERY PROGRAM

We now face the worst economic crisis since the Great Depression. The collapse of the housing bubble, followed by a credit freeze and stock market collapse, has erased over \$10 trillion in paper value in the U.S. alone. The debacle in housing exposed, in the words of Noriel Roubini, a subprime global financial system that was recklessly over-leveraged, laden with exotic securities of unknown value, and now facing staggering losses. Massive, and at times desperate, efforts by central banks across the world have served only to stave off collapse.

This financial contagion has now infected the real economy. A serious recession now seems unavoidable in the United States as well as in Europe and Japan. And the developing world, which we were told was “de-coupled” from the U.S. and Europe, is facing enormous strains of its own. For the first time since the 1930s, we face a real risk of worldwide deflation and substantial economic contraction.

Restoring economic growth will require a strong and multifaceted plan. Reviving the financial system itself will require far more than the hastily assembled and badly designed \$700 billion bailout passed in September. We must (1) remedy the costly defects in the current plans; (2) put in place a systematic program of real mortgage relief for homeowners, and (3) enact comprehensive reform of financial markets.

It is not possible, however, to simply clean up this mess and return to business as usual. Stabilizing the housing and financial markets and staving off a deep downturn must be part of a broader, systemic effort to address the roots of this crisis.

For too long, our economy has been based on consumption sustained through asset bubbles and easy credit, with the vast majority of new wealth accumulated only by those at the very top. We must chart a strategy to move towards sustainable, long-term growth, with blessings that are widely shared. This will require increased government investment in public education, in research and development of new technology, and in the modern infrastructure that is vital to building a competitive economy. It must also include raising the minimum wage and reviving the right of employees to organize and bargain collectively, so that workers may once again capture a fair share of the productivity and wealth they help generate.

The new strategy must include access to comprehensive and affordable health care for all Americans. It must include a system of taxation that produces the revenue necessary for supporting a vital public sector in a fairer and more progressive manner. We will also need a new global initiative to reform currency and financial regulations, to place the financial system in its proper role as servant to the real economy. And this strategy must be accompanied by a global trade regime that reduces unsustainable trade imbalances and ensures that trade benefits workers in all participating countries.

In the coming weeks, we will address each of these issues. We begin below with an analysis of the steps needed to counter the deepening recession and get the real economy going again.

### **The Immediate Need: Reviving Main Street**

The need for substantial fiscal expansion to revive the real economy is clear and present, as a long and deep downturn now seems inescapable. The economy has been losing private-sector jobs for 12 months. Unemployment is already at a five-year high and continues to rise, and many employees are working fewer hours than they wish, facing pay and benefit cuts, or dropping out of the workforce in discouragement. After taking into consideration workers who take part-time jobs out of necessity and those who are otherwise marginally attached to the labor force, the effective unemployment rate at the end of November was an extraordinary 12.5 percent.

Consumers are cutting back, as their confidence has been shaken by staggering losses in housing and stock-market values. Manufacturing has been relentlessly shedding jobs over the last seven years and is now in a near-free fall. Construction has been decimated by the bursting of the housing bubble and the freezing of credit. Retail stores are girding for a grim holiday season. Exports, which had risen with the falling dollar, will now be slowed by the global economic downturn. States and localities that had increased public employment over the past year now face declining revenues and rising deficits which will force layoffs and cutbacks in needed services. Our economy is in trouble and needs action now.

While more monetary loosening is likely, its effects will be limited, as interest rates are already at low levels. A major fiscal stimulus is essential. Though the \$700 billion Wall Street bailout may have been necessary, it was not simulative. In the end, banks will only lend at needed levels when there is a growing economy in which to lend. And while budget deficits have already risen, they pose no immediate danger as the global crisis has witnessed a flight of investment back to the dollar, allowing for relatively low-cost financing.

With a deep and long global downturn now likely, any plan for reviving the economy should be **substantial, strategic and sustained**. It is also imperative that it be coordinated with similar efforts across the world. The U.S. cannot be expected to lift the global economy alone.

Reviving our nearly \$15 trillion economy will require **substantial** fiscal expansion. The consumption effects of the collapse of housing and stock prices have already been dramatic, as reflected in the bottoming of consumer confidence. When gross domestic product growth fell to negative levels at the end of 2000 and America was hit by the shock of 9-11, the U.S. general government budget (federal, state and local combined) went from reporting a surplus of approximately 1.3 percent of GDP to running a deficit of about 4.9 percent GDP by the end of 2004 – the equivalent of over \$900 billion in fiscal stimulus. Even that stimulus – which was bolstered by extremely

low interest rates, a thriving financial system, a housing asset bubble, and a growing global economy – produced only a slow recovery and stagnant incomes. Today’s crisis is worse, and fiscal expansion must do much more of the heavy lifting. Although not directly comparable, China recently announced a stimulus plan focused entirely on the internal investment of 7 percent of their GDP each year for two years.

**Three percent of GDP -- about \$450 billion each year for two years, for a total of \$900 billion -- should define the floor, not the ceiling, of what needs to be done.**

The plan for reviving the economy must be **strategic**, focused on public investment in areas vital to strengthening America’s long-term competitiveness, not simply on giving consumers or businesses a temporary boost through tax rebates. As we detail below, central elements of the plan should include investment in green technology, reducing our dependence on foreign oil, and addressing the rising threat of global warming. We should also move to repair and modernize our intellectual and physical infrastructure and to provide temporary financial assistance to workers most in need. This approach will generate jobs immediately and contribute to greater productivity and international competitiveness.

Public investments are far more efficient at stimulating the economy than tax cuts for either individuals or businesses are. First, all investment spending will in fact be spent, whereas data suggests that only 20 percent of the recent tax rebates went into consumption; the remainder was saved or used to reduce consumer debt.

Second, more of the jobs generated through public investment will be created here. In contrast, a good portion of the tax rebates were spent on goods manufactured abroad, creating jobs in China and elsewhere. Infrastructure funding targets construction workers, who have been hit hardest by the housing market’s collapse. Infrastructure projects are tangible and visible, helping to generate needed confidence in recovery. Economic models, such as the one used by Mark Zandi of Moody’s Economy.com, show that in the first year, stimulus investments in the form of infrastructure spending, aid to state governments, and extended unemployment benefits or food stamps generate more GDP growth per dollar than do tax cuts or rebates.<sup>1</sup>

And public investment is just that: an investment. Money spent earns a return in the form of higher productivity from workers who take advantage of broader and cleaner transportation choices and faster broadband connections, and from students whose ability to learn is improved by modernized schools or attendance at otherwise unaffordable colleges.

Critics argue that public investment takes too long to begin, claiming that recessions end before infrastructure money has been spent. This argument has little relevance now, as we face a recession that is likely to be deep and long if we do not provide a sustained response. Moreover, in recent recessions, labor markets recovered

far more slowly than other elements of the economy. In the recession of 2001, for example, it took more than two years for employment rates to bottom out and four years before they rose above pre-recession levels. In addition, there is a significant backlog of infrastructure projects that are ready to go and lack only the resources to move forward. Governor John Corzine of New Jersey testified that his state alone has \$1.5 billion of projects ready to start in 90 days. A survey by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials found over 3,000 projects totaling \$17.9 billion that are ready to launch within 90 days of receiving funding. A compilation by the Economic Policy Institute found \$20 billion worth of school projects that are ready to begin.

Any recovery plan must also be **sustained**. Ending the recession, however difficult, is not sufficient. It will take many years of fiscal expansion through public investment to move us from an economy driven by the booms and busts of asset bubbles to one driven by sustained and balanced growth. During the initial two-year period of increased deficit spending, we should be formulating a multiyear plan to change our economic priorities. After the first two years, this program should be permanent, productive and paid for. Long-term investments in public education and training, in health care, in modernizing our infrastructure, in urban reconstruction, and in research and development should be sustained not by deficit spending but by changes in how we use our resources.

Returning to a more progressive tax code – by closing corporate loopholes such as the egregious carried-interest tax break and by collecting the \$300 billion a year that the IRS is owed but does not collect – could easily raise over \$600 billion a year.

### **Core Elements of the Recovery Plan**

At \$450 billion a year for two years, a recovery plan should focus on the following core elements:

**Green Investment.** A first priority should be a green investment agenda designed to reduce our dependence on foreign oil and address the rising threat of global warming. *Green Recovery*, a report by Robert Pollin of the University of Massachusetts' Political Economy Research Institute, and the Center for American Progress, documents opportunities for creating two million green jobs over two years by investing nearly \$100 billion in six green infrastructure areas: retrofitting buildings to improve energy efficiency, expanding mass transit and freight rail networks, constructing “smart” electric-grid systems, increasing capacity for generating power from wind and solar energy, and developing carbon capture technologies and next-generation biofuels.<sup>2</sup> This amount should be considered a minimum and could be expanded if appropriate plans can be rapidly developed. We designate \$50 billion of this package for investment in green projects that will generate jobs and growth in the near term.<sup>3</sup>

**Infrastructure Modernization and Repair.** A second priority is major investment in state-of-the-art national infrastructure, including everything from roads and bridges to schools, airports, and sewers. These investments generate jobs while providing the foundation for greater productivity for our factories and offices, better health for all citizens and a better education for our children.

In an America that is literally falling apart, the need for this investment is apparent. According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, over two-thirds of America's roads are in poor or mediocre condition, resulting in billions wasted on automobile repairs and traffic delays.<sup>4</sup> More than one in four bridges are rated structurally deficient or obsolete and half of waterway locks are functionally obsolete.<sup>5</sup> The Department of Education found that 43 percent of schools are in a state of disrepair so severe that it "interferes with the delivery of instruction."<sup>6</sup> The American Society of Civil Engineers estimates that getting the nation's infrastructure in good working condition would require \$1.6 trillion spent over five years, or more than \$300 billion per year.<sup>7</sup>

The Economic Policy Institute has compiled a range of infrastructure projects – including building high-quality drinking water and wastewater systems, and modernizing and repairing schools and roads – that total about \$40 billion and are "ready to go." There is an estimated \$32 billion backlog of repairs and rebuilding needed to bring public housing into good condition. A \$75 billion investment program in the first year could easily be expanded to \$150 billion, still less than the amount deemed justifiable by the Congressional Budget Office in the second.<sup>8</sup>

**Aid to States.** The economic downturn has left state and municipal finances in dire straits. Tax revenues are plummeting as demand for services is rising. The Center for Budget and Policy Priorities reports that at least 41 states face shortfalls in their budgets this year or will face shortfalls next year. Twenty-nine states closed shortfalls totaling \$48 billion in their fiscal year 2009 operating budgets largely by drawing on "rainy day funds." In fiscal year 2010, CBPP estimates that a total deficit of \$100 billion will have impact on virtually every state. In previous recessions, the financial pressures on states continued building at least two years after the onset of the recession.<sup>9</sup>

In past recessions, states have responded to budget gaps by reducing children's health care services, cutting spending on child care and education, restricting Medicaid eligibility, cutting back or deferring construction projects, increasing taxes and public-university tuition, and by slashing aid to localities, a move which often results in layoffs of police officers and firefighters. All of these actions add to the severity of the downturn, bringing lay offs of even more workers and further burdens to citizens.

We suggest spending \$50 billion on state aid in the first year and increasing spending to \$75 billion in the second. The most effective measures would split funds between flexible block grants for general revenue sharing (in order to prevent cuts in funding for education and other vital state programs), and a temporary increase in

federal matching funds for Medicaid (in order to address the increase in demand for Medicaid and avoid cuts in health care that would lead to a sharp rise in the number of uninsured).

As the Zandi modeling shows, aid to states has a relatively high stimulus impact per dollar spent, since the money is put to use immediately, and forestalls cutbacks and tax increases that would reinforce the downturn.<sup>10</sup> The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured also studied the role Medicaid plays in state and local economies and found that Medicaid spending generates economic activity, including jobs, income and state tax revenues, while reductions in state and federal Medicaid will lead to declines in economic activity at the state level.<sup>11</sup>

**Investment in Public Education.** Across the country, states are limiting or cutting back aid to universities. America's great public universities, which allowed millions to join the middle class, no longer get most of their funding from state appropriations. This has led to sharp increases in the costs borne by students and their families. Federal aid programs have not kept up with these rising costs.

In 1979, the highest level of Pell Grants, which provide aid to the students most in need, covered up to three quarters of the average cost of attending a four-year public college. To return to that level of support, and to make college affordable for thousands more students by expanding eligibility for Pell Grants, would cost \$35 billion annually.<sup>12</sup> This aid could be dispensed quickly through existing mechanisms and would help to reduce downward pressure on labor markets by bringing potential students into school. The importance of a college education will only grow in the years ahead.

Many middle-class and low-income families rely on federally-subsidized student loans to help pay for college education, and more are expected to seek federal loans as their investments and income suffer during the economic downturn. Despite passage and extension of the Ensuring Continued Access to Student Loans Act, federal student aid programs – which include Stafford, Perkins, and PLUS loans – currently face a budget shortfall of approximately \$5 billion.<sup>13</sup> We recommend fully funding federal student aid programs, while expanding direct lending to secure the student loan market. Not only will this investment provide needed support to students and schools, it will generate a positive return as the loans are repaid.<sup>14</sup>

**Research and Development.** Public investment in research and development – both military and non-military – now represents less than one-half the share of GDP that it did at its height in the 1960s.<sup>15</sup> Increased productivity and national income growth is inherently linked to R&D investment that stimulates innovation and facilitates transmission of new technologies. For this country to compete and thrive in a global economy, staying on the cutting edge of research and development is vital. This expansion should be continued even after the economy recovers, and will be paid for by rising revenues. There is no better investment in our future.

The Association of American Universities is currently gathering figures from the national research centers to estimate the level of funding these agencies could easily absorb in one to two years. We conservatively estimate an increase of \$5 billion in the first year and \$10 billion in the second.

**Health Care.** In addition to meeting a very real need, this crisis provides an opportunity to increase access to and lower the cost of health care. Providing federal support to expand the State Children's Health Insurance Program and increasing access to Medicaid would help reduce state-budget shortfalls and strengthen the health-care safety net at a time when more families will be forced to rely on it. There is also potential to use stimulus investments to promote systemic changes that will spur economic growth in the short-term and improve quality and cost-effectiveness of care in the long-term. For instance, providing incentives for hospitals and physicians to adopt electronic medical record systems could yield more than \$80 billion in annual savings.<sup>16</sup> We recommend investing a total of approximately \$15 billion in targeted health care projects in the first year and \$55 billion in the second, as a down-payment on comprehensive health care reform.

**Aid to Those in Need.** Economic downturns hit the weakest and poorest families hardest. The poorest families have the fewest resources on which to draw in the event of a job loss or drop in income. Assistance given to them will be spent immediately on food and medicine, and on rent and car loans.<sup>17</sup> Providing aid to those most in need is not only the morally right thing to do, it makes the most economic sense. Estimates by Moody's Economy.com indicate that each dollar in tax cuts that include low-income households increases real GDP by \$1.29, whereas tax reductions for capital gains and dividends yield less than 40 cents of real GDP growth on the dollar.<sup>18</sup>

Providing jobless workers with assistance affording COBRA health insurance premiums would help families avoid drawing down their savings to remain healthy. Based on Congressional Budget Office modeling, offering a 75 percent subsidy to all eligible workers is estimated to cost \$11.75 billion over two years.<sup>19</sup>

Modernizing the unemployment insurance system to meet the needs of the contemporary workforce would cost approximately \$7.5 billion.<sup>20</sup> Funds for this investment will be provided by an extension of the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA) surtax.

A temporary 20 percent increase in the food stamp benefit would cost approximately \$15 billion and would enable millions of low-income families to cope with rapid increases in food costs.<sup>21</sup> The Center for American Progress' Task Force on Poverty estimates that the number of Americans living in poverty could be reduced by more than a quarter through an annual investment of \$38 billion to increase the Earned Income and Child Tax Credits, raise the minimum wage, and expand access to child care.<sup>22</sup> Pre-K Now recommends the federal government invest about \$1 billion a year in incentive grants to states to extend their pre-kindergarten programs. The Center on

Budget and Policy Priorities believes states could spend an additional \$1 billion in the first year and \$1.5 billion in the 2nd year, in child care and an equivalent amount in Head Start for a total of \$5 billion over 2 years for these two programs. In all, \$80 billion would be devoted to the purpose of reducing poverty.

**Tax Cuts.** A total of \$450 billion of spending in the first year is the minimum necessary to provide a real stimulus. Public investment provides the most stimulus effect, but a decade of starvation has left many public entities without the capacity to absorb all of the needed spending in the first year. Moreover, although the tax cut earlier this year did not adequately translate into consumer buying, the rapidly deteriorating job market since then suggests that millions of low- and middle-income Americans are struggling to meet their daily obligations, and therefore are more likely to spend the proceeds of any tax relief they get.

The Economists' Policy Group on Women's Issues calls for the expansion of public support for paid home-care workers; tax credits for family members providing for their own disabled, elderly, and infirm; and a significant increase in the child credit, making it fully refundable, to provide tax relief to those who need it most. We therefore propose \$145 billion of tax relief in a refundable rebate targeted to low- and middle-income taxpayers in the first year, replaced by increased public investment in the second.

## Conclusion

Properly designed, the recovery program can not only help the economy get back on its feet, but also provide a down payment for a more productive and just society. The deficits involved in the first two years – along with those incurred by the financial bailout – will be significant, but they will be far less costly than allowing this recession to descend into a depression – a direction in which we could very well be headed.

| <b>Total Proposed Stimulus Spending for Two Years</b><br>(in billions of dollars) |                      |          |
|---|----------------------|----------|
|   | Year One             | Year Two |
| Green Investment <sup>23</sup>  | \$50                 | \$50     |
| Infrastructure <sup>24</sup>  | \$75                 | \$150    |
| Aid to States <sup>25</sup>   | \$50                 | \$75     |
| Education <sup>26</sup>   | \$40                 | \$40     |
| Research and Development <sup>27</sup>  | \$5                  | \$10     |
| Health Care <sup>28</sup>   | \$15                 | \$55     |
| Unemployment Insurance and COBRA Subsidy <sup>29</sup>                            | \$15                 | \$15     |
| Food Stamps <sup>30</sup>   | \$15                 | \$15     |
| Poverty Reduction <sup>31</sup>   | \$40                 | \$40     |
| Middle-Class Tax Cut <sup>32</sup>  | \$145                | -        |
| <b>Total Over Two Years</b>   | <b>\$900 billion</b> |          |

## Footnotes

- <sup>1</sup> Zandi, Mark M. 2008. "Assessing the Macroeconomic Impact of Fiscal Stimulus 2008." *Moody's Economy*. <http://www.economy.com/mark-zandi/documents/assising-the-impact-of-the-fiscal-stimulus.pdf>
- <sup>2</sup> Pollin, Robert; et al. 2008. *Green Recovery: A Program to Create Good Jobs and Start Building a Low-Carbon Economy*. Center for American Progress and the Political Economy Research Institute. [http://www.peri.umass.edu/fileadmin/pdf/other\\_publication\\_types/peri\\_report.pdf](http://www.peri.umass.edu/fileadmin/pdf/other_publication_types/peri_report.pdf)
- <sup>3</sup> Hendricks, Bracken and Benjamin Goldstein. 2008. "A Strategy for Green Recovery: Stimulating the Economy Today by Rebuilding for Future Prosperity." Center for American Progress. [http://www.americanprogressaction.org/issues/2008/green\\_recovery\\_policy.html](http://www.americanprogressaction.org/issues/2008/green_recovery_policy.html). Hendricks and Goldstein suggest a package of investments to be made over two years that will have a stimulative effect in as little as a few months. These investments include programs to promote trade-ins of old, inefficient cars and household investments in home weatherization.
- <sup>4</sup> American Society of Civil Engineers. 2008. *Report Card for America's Infrastructure*. <http://www.asce.org/reportcard/2005/actionplan07.cfm>
- <sup>5</sup> Federal Highway Administration. 2007. "Deficient Bridges by State and Highway System." <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/BRIDGE/defbr07.cfm>
- <sup>6</sup> National Center for Education Statistics. 2007. *Public School Principals Report on Their School Facilities: Fall 2005*. <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2007/2007007.pdf>
- <sup>7</sup> American Society of Civil Engineers. 2008. *Ibid*.
- <sup>8</sup> Irons, John and Ethan Pollack. 2008. "Policy Memorandum 132: A Rescue Plan for Main Street. Economic Policy Institute." <http://www.epi.org/policy/132/pm132.pdf>. Note: the Congressional Budget Office reported that an annual infrastructure investment of \$184.8 billion (in 2004 dollars) would be "economically justifiable." (<http://cbo.gov/ftpdocs/95xx/doc9534/MainText.1.2.shtml>)
- <sup>9</sup> See: Elizabeth C. McNichol and Iris Lav. 2008. "Thirteen States Face Total Budget Shortfall of at Least \$23 Billion in 2009." Center on Budget and Policy Priorities at: <http://www.cbpp.org>. <http://www.cbpp.org/12-18-07sfp.htm>.
- <sup>10</sup> Zandi. 2008. *Ibid*.
- <sup>11</sup> Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. April 2004. "The Role of Medicaid in State Economies: A Look at the Research."
- <sup>12</sup> Rethinking Student Aid Study Group. 2008. *Fulfilling the Commitment: Recommendations for Reforming Federal Student Aid*. <http://professionals.collegeboard.com/profdownload/rethinking-stu-aid-fulfilling-commitment-recommendations.pdf>
- This estimate includes expanding the Pell Grant program to include students and families with incomes of up to 300 percent of the federal poverty level (an increase from the current eligibility limit of 250 percent of the poverty level) and increasing the maximum grant from the current level of \$4,731 to \$10,000. The estimate draws on data and modeling from the Brookings-Urban Tax Policy Institute.
- <sup>13</sup> Department of Education. 2008. "Fiscal Year 2009 Congressional Budget Action." <http://www.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/budget09/09action.pdf>
- <sup>14</sup> Congressional Budget Office. 2008. "Cost Estimate for H.R. 5715: Ensuring Continued Access to Student Loans Act of 2008." <http://www.cbo.gov/ftpdocs/92xx/doc9213/hr5715.pdf>
- <sup>15</sup> Office of Management and Budget. 2008. *Budget of the United States Government: Historical Tables*. <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2009/pdf/hist.pdf>
- <sup>16</sup> Congressional Budget Office. 2008. *Evidence on the Costs and Benefits of Health Information Technology*. <http://cbo.gov/ftpdocs/95xx/doc9572/MainText.3.1.shtml>
- <sup>17</sup> Congressional Budget Office. 2008. *Options for Responding to Short-Term Economic Weakness*. [http://cbo.gov/ftpdocs/89xx/doc8916/01-15-Econ\\_Stimulus.pdf](http://cbo.gov/ftpdocs/89xx/doc8916/01-15-Econ_Stimulus.pdf)
- <sup>18</sup> Zandi, Mark. 2008. "Washington Throws the Economy a Rope." *Moody's*. [http://www.economy.com/dismal/article\\_free.asp?cid=102598](http://www.economy.com/dismal/article_free.asp?cid=102598)
- <sup>19</sup> CBO scoring of HR 3090 (2001), the Economic Security and Assistance for American Workers Act. <http://cbo.gov/doc.cfm?index=3162&type=0>. Based on current trends, we assume an unemployed population of 12 million. Estimates of COBRA eligibility range from 57 percent to 66 percent; we assume 60 percent eligibility and use the CBO's assumption of 75 percent

---

participation among those eligible. We have also adjusted the Congressional Budget Office's calculations to reflect inflation and increases in the cost of health insurance.

<sup>20</sup> Center for American Progress and National Employment Law Project. 2008. *Helping the Jobless Helps Us All*. <http://www.nelp.org/page/-/UI/HelpingJoblessHelpsAll.pdf>

<sup>21</sup> Rosenbaum, Dorothy. 2008. "Food Stamp Benefits Falling Further Behind Rising Food Prices." Center for Budget and Policy Priorities. <http://www.cbpp.org/7-22-08fa.htm>

<sup>22</sup> Center for American Progress Task Force on Poverty. 2008. *From Poverty to Prosperity: A National Strategy to Cut Poverty in Half*. [http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2007/04/poverty\\_report.html](http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2007/04/poverty_report.html)

<sup>23</sup> The University of Massachusetts' Political Economy Research Institute and the Center for American Progress found that the United States can create 2 million green jobs over two years by investing nearly \$100 billion in six green infrastructure areas: retrofitting buildings to improve energy efficiency, expanding mass transit and freight rail, constructing "smart" electric grid systems, developing wind and solar power, and promoting next-generation biofuels. The estimates here include \$50 billion for tax credits to promote green investments, \$4 billion in federal loan guarantees for green building projects, and \$40.4 billion in direct federal investment.

Pollin, Robert; et al. 2008. *Green Recovery: A Program to Create Good Jobs and Start Building a Low-Carbon Economy*. Center for American Progress and the Political Economy Research Institute. and Hendricks, Bracken and Benjamin Goldstein. 2008. "A Strategy for Green Recovery: Stimulating the Economy Today by Rebuilding for Future Prosperity." Center for American Progress. [http://www.americanprogressaction.org/issues/2008/green\\_recovery\\_policy.html](http://www.americanprogressaction.org/issues/2008/green_recovery_policy.html).

<sup>24</sup> A variety of projects-- including transit projects, improving drinking water and wastewater systems, school repair and modernization, repairing roads, and school repair and maintenance-- that total over \$61 billion are "ready to go."

Testimony of John S. Irons, Ph.D. Research and Policy Director Economic Policy Institute, Before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure Hearing on: "Infrastructure Investment and Economic Recovery". Wednesday October 29, 2008. [http://www.epi.org/webfeatures/testimony\\_20081029.pdf](http://www.epi.org/webfeatures/testimony_20081029.pdf)  
Note: the Congressional Budget Office reported that an annual infrastructure investment of \$184.8 billion (in 2004 dollars) would be "economically justifiable."

<sup>25</sup> Twenty-nine states closed shortfalls totaling \$48 billion in their FY2009 budgets. These deficits are expected to grow to an estimated \$100 billion nationwide in 2010.

Elizabeth C. McNichol and Iris Lav. 2008. "Thirteen States Face Total Budget Shortfall of at Least \$23 Billion in 2009." Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. <http://www.cbpp.org/12-18-07sfp.htm>.

<sup>26</sup> In 1979, Federal Pell Grants covered three quarters of the cost of attending a four year college or university. Returning to that level of support would cost \$34.5 billion a year, according to [the Rethinking Student Aid Study Group](#). Over two years that would require \$69 billion to fund Pell Grants.

<sup>27</sup> According to [http://www.nea.org/lac/funding/images/federal\\_percent20underinvestment.pdf](http://www.nea.org/lac/funding/images/federal_percent20underinvestment.pdf), shortfalls in K-12 Education R & D Funding for FY 2009 will be \$2.6 billion. For two years, we propose \$5.20 billion. According to the Association of American Universities, the [Graduate Education gap for FY 2009](#), the difference between funds requested and funds allocated, [is \\$5 million. We propose \\$10 million for two years](#). According to the Association of American Universities, the [International Education gap for FY2009](#), the difference between funds requested [and funds allocated, is \\$27 million](#) which is \$54 million for two years. The NIH gap for FY 2009, the difference between funds requested and funds allocated according to the Association of American Universities is \$1.87 billion. Extended to two years is \$3.74 billion. Although the AAU is still working on producing number for their members on what R & D funds could be easily absorbed, according to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Main Science and Technology Indicators (2006)., the US could increase non-defense R&D spending by 0.4 percent of GDP to reach Germany's share at 2.5 percent GDP and would have to increase by 1 percent of GDP to reach Japan's share at 2.5 percent.

<sup>28</sup> Senator Jay Rockefeller, chair of the Finance Subcommittee on Health Care, has proposed legislation allocating increased federal Medicaid and SCHIP payments to states based on need. Estimates included in the legislation call for additional investments of \$2.5 billion in 2008 and \$3.5 billion in 2009 (S 2819: Economic Recovery in Health Care Act of 2008, <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/z?c110:S.2819;>)

According to the Congressional Budget Office, expanding eligibility for enrollment in SCHIP—as proposed by Congress in bills that were vetoed by President Bush—would cost an additional \$2.4 billion in 2008 (for a total SCHIP expenditure of \$7.8 billion) and an additional \$4.9 billion in 2009 (for a total of \$9.6 billion).

---

Congressional Budget Office. 2007. *CBO's Estimate of the Effects on Direct Spending and Revenues of the House Amendments to the Senate Amendments to H.R. 976, the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007*. <http://www.cbo.gov/ftpdocs/86xx/doc8655/hr976.pdf>

The cost of implementing a nationwide, interoperable electronic medical records system has been estimated at \$7.6 billion a year over an adoption period of 15 years. Making the entire cost of a new electronic medical records system tax-deductible would cost less than \$7.6 billion.

Richard Hillestad, James Bigelow, Anthony Bower, Federico Girosi, Robin Meili, Richard Scoville, and Roger Taylor, "Can Electronic Medical Record Systems Transform Health Care? Potential Health Benefits, Savings, And Costs," *Health Affairs*, September/October 2005; 24(5): 1103-1117.

<http://content.healthaffairs.org/cgi/reprint/24/5/1103.pdf>

<sup>29</sup> Modernizing Unemployment Insurance would require federal incentives of approximately \$7 billion, which could be broken up over several years. It would also require a federal investment of \$500 million to help states meet administrative costs.

Center for American Progress and National Employment Law Project. 2008. *Helping the Jobless Helps Us All*.

<http://www.nelp.org/page/-/UI/HelpingJoblessHelpsAll.pdf>

Funds for this investment will be provided by employer taxes levied by a temporary extension of the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA). Funding unemployment modernization in this manner ensures that this investment will provide economic stimulus without contributing to the federal deficit.

Providing jobless workers with assistance affording COBRA health insurance premiums will cost approximately \$11.75 billion over two years, according to estimates made using Congressional Budget Office modeling.

CBO scoring of HR 3090 (2001), the Economic Security and Assistance for American Workers Act.

<http://cbo.gov/doc.cfm?index=3162&type=0>.

<sup>30</sup> A 20 percent increase in food stamp benefits would cost \$15 billion and would enable millions of low-income families to purchase basic dietary necessities. USDA's Economic Research Service reports that using the food stamp program for economic stimulus would "result in increased demand and production in the agriculture and food sectors, stabilizing economic activities in these key rural sectors during downturns in the economy."

Rosenbaum, Dorothy. 2008. "Food Stamp Benefits Falling Further Behind Rising Food Prices." Center for Budget and Policy Priorities. [http://www.cbpp.org/7-22-08fa.htm#\\_ftnref10](http://www.cbpp.org/7-22-08fa.htm#_ftnref10)

<sup>31</sup> An annual investment of \$38 billion will lower the poverty rate by one quarter through expanded tax cuts and work support programs and by raising the minimum wage.

Pre-K Now believes the federal government should invest in incentive grants that would go to states to greatly improve their respective state pre-k programs. The cost is estimated at \$6 billion over 6 years. For two years we estimate \$2 billion.

Jason T. Hustedt, Allison H. Friedman, Judi Stevenson Boyd and Pat Ainsworth. The State of Preschool 2007.

National Institute for Early Education Research. 2007, <http://nieer.org/yearbook/pdf/yearbook.pdf>. The Investment Deficit in America: Yesterday's Achievements, Today's Problems, Tomorrow's Solutions.

Center for American Progress Task Force on Poverty. 2008. *From Poverty to Prosperity: A National Strategy to Cut Poverty in Half*. [http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2007/04/poverty\\_report.html](http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2007/04/poverty_report.html)

<sup>32</sup> The Brookings-Urban Institute Tax Policy Center estimates that a fully-refundable tax rebate of \$800 (\$1,600 for couples and \$1,200 for heads of household) would benefit over 122 million Americans and cost approximately \$153.9 billion.

## Endorsers

The undersigned have endorsed the Main Street Recovery Program.

**Robert Borosage**, Co-Director, Institute for America's Future

**Leo Gerard**, International President, United Steelworkers (USW)

**Dean Baker**, Economist, Center for Economic and Policy Research (CEPR)

**Anna Burger**, Chair, Change to Win

**Jane D'Arista**, Financial Markets Center

**Jeff Faux**, Economist & Founder, Economic Policy Institute (EPI)

**James Galbraith**, Economist

**Heidi Hartmann**, President, Institute for Women's Policy Research

**Robert Johnson**, Economist

**Robert Kuttner**, Co-Editor, The American Prospect; Senior Fellow, Demos

**Julie A. Matthaei**, Professor of Economics, Wellesley College

**Gerald W. McEntee**, International President, American Federation of State,  
County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME)

**Lawrence Mishel**, President, Economic Policy Institute (EPI)

**Susan Ozawa**, Economic Studies Fellow, Institute for America's Future

**Robert Pollin**, Department of Economics and Political Economy Research Institute  
(PERI), University of Massachusetts, Amherst

**Carl Pope**, Executive Director, Sierra Club

**Andrew Stern**, President, Service Employees International Union (SEIU)

**John J. Sweeney**, President, AFL-CIO

**Dennis Van Roekel**, President, National Education Association (NEA)

**Mike Wessell**, Senior Advisor, Alliance for American Manufacturing

**Clayola Brown**, National President, A. Philip Randolph Institute

**Randi Weingarten**, President, American Federation of Teachers (AFT)

**Maria Somma**, National President, Asian Pacific American Labor Alliance  
(APLA)

**Frank Hurt**, International President, Bakery, Confectionery, Tobacco Workers,  
and Grain Millers International Union (BCTGM)

**Christopher Chafe**, Executive Director, Change to Win

**William Lucy**, President, Coalition of Black Trade Unionists

**Marsha Zakowski**, National President, Coalition of Labor Union Women (CLUW)

**Larry Cohen**, President, Communications Workers of America (CWA)

**Matthew D. Loeb**, International President, International Alliance of Theatrical  
Stage Employees (IATSE)

**Gregory Junemann**, President, International Federation of Professional & Technical Engineers (IFPTE)

**Milton Rosado**, National President, Labor Council for Latin American Advancement (LCLAA)

**Gabriella D. Lemus**, Executive Director, Labor Council for Latin American Advancement (LCLAA)

**Terence M. O'Sullivan**, General President, Laborers' International Union of North America (LIUNA)

**Michael Sacco**, President, Seafarers International Union (SIU)

**Michael Sullivan**, General President, Sheet Metal Workers International Association (SMW)

**James C. Little**, International President, Transport Worker Union of America (TWU)

**Robert A. Scardelletti**, International President, Transportation Communications International Union (TCU)

**Bruce Raynor**, General President, UNITE HERE

**Ron Gettelfinger**, President, United Auto Workers (UAW)

**Steve Kest**, Executive Director, ACORN

**Rebecca Haag**, Executive Director, AIDS Action

**Nan Aron**, President, Alliance for Justice

**Mary Beth Maxwell**, Executive Director, American Rights at Work

**Amy Isaacs**, National Director, Americans for Democratic Action

**Jim Campen**, Executive Director, Americans for Fairness in Lending

**Linda Brown**, Executive Director, Arizona Advocacy Network

**Bill Moyer**, Executive Director, Backbone Campaign

**Kevin B. Zeese**, Executive Director, Campaign for Fresh Air & Clean Politics

**Karen Dolan**, Director, Cities for Progress

**Lynda Delaforgue**, Executive Director, Citizen Action of Illinois

**Karen Scharff**, Executive Director, Citizen Action of New York

**Deborah Weinstein**, Executive Director, Coalition on Human Needs

**Don Mathis**, President & CEO, Community Action Partnership

**Tom Swan**, Executive Director, CT Citizen Action Group

**Miles Rapoport**, President, Demos

**Heidi Shierholz**, Economist, Economic Policy Institute

**Bill Newton**, Executive Director, Florida Consumer Action Network

**Larry Pellegrini**, Executive Director, Georgia Rural Urban Summit

**John Cavanaugh**, Director, Institute for Policy Studies

**Betty Ahrens**, Executive Director, Iowa Citizen Action Network  
**Sarita Gupta**, Executive Director, Jobs with Justice  
**Ben Manski**, Executive Director, Liberty Tree Foundation for the Democratic Revolution  
**Linda Teeter**, Executive Director, Michigan Citizen Action  
**Jackie Kendall**, Executive Director, Midwest Academy  
**Christine L. Owens**, Executive Director, National Employment Law Project  
**Rea Carey**, Executive Director, National Gay & Lesbian Task Force Foundation  
**Ali Noorani**, Executive Director, National Immigration Forum  
**Kim A. Gandy**, President, National Organization for Women (NOW)  
**George Goehl**, Executive Director, National People's Action  
**Jo Cromerford**, Executive Director, National Priorities Project  
**Don Morrison**, Executive Director, NDPeople.org  
**Phyllis Salowe-Kaye**, Executive Director, New Jersey Citizen Action  
**Sarah L. Johnson**, Organizer, NH Citizens Alliance  
**Sarah Chaisson Warner**, Civic Engagement Director, NH Citizens Alliance  
**Karen Malcolm**, Executive Director, Ocean State Action  
**Gary D. Bass, PhD**, Executive Director, OMB Watch  
**Andrew Gillum**, Director, People For Foundation's Young Elected Officials Network  
**Rev. Rolan Womack**, Chair, People For Foundation's African American Ministers Leadership Council  
**Kathryn Kolbert**, President, People for the American Way Foundation (PFAW)  
**Brad Martin**, Political Director, Progressive Future  
**Sean Dobson**, Executive Director, Progressive Maryland  
**Brian Rothenberg**, Executive Director, ProgressOhio  
**Nate Lowenthal**, Executive Director, Roosevelt Institution  
**Tom Peters**, Executive Director, Tennessee Action Network  
**Steve Gutow**, President & CEO, The Jewish Council for Public Affairs  
**Charlie Clements**, President & CEO, Unitarian Universalist Service Committee (UUSC)  
**Lee Farris**, Federal Tax Policy Coordinator, United for a Fair Economy  
**Carmen Berkley**, President, United States Student Association  
**Jeff Blum**, Executive Director, USAction  
**Will Pittz**, Executive Director, Washington Community Action Network  
**Page Gardner**, President, Women's Voices. Women Vote Action Fund  
**Paula Brantner**, Executive Director, Workplace Fairness  
**Gary Zuckett**, Executive Director, WV Citizen Action Group

**Tanweer Akram, Ph.D.**

**Randy Albelda**, Professor of Economics and Senior Fellow, The Center for Social Policy at University of Massachusetts - Boston

**Marcus Alexis**, Professor Emeritus, Northwestern University

**Sylvia A. Allegretto, Ph.D.**, Economist, Institute for Research on Labor & Employment University of California, Berkeley

**Gar Alperovitz**, University of Maryland

**Marcellus Andrews**, Barnard College, Columbia University

**Patricia A. Atkinson**, Professor of Economics, Portland State University

**M. V. Lee Badgett**, Director, Center for Public Policy & Administration  
University of Massachusetts

**Ron Baiman**, DePaul University

**Nina Banks**, Bucknell University

**Dr. Michael H. Belzer**, Associate Professor, Department of Economics  
Wayne State University

**Gunseli Berik**, University of Utah

**Carole Biewener**, Professor of Economics and of Women's and Gender Studies,  
Simmons College

**Cihan Bilginsoy**, University of Utah

**Colin S. Cavell, Ph.D.**, University of Bahrain, Sakhir Campus

**Oliver Cooke, Ph.D.**, Assistant Professor of Economics, Richard Stockton  
College of New Jersey

**David R. Cormier, Ph.D.**, Institute for Labor Studies and Research Extension  
Service/West Virginia University

**Brendan Cushing-Daniels**, Assistant Professor of Economics, Gettysburg College

**James M. Cypher**, Professor of Economics, California State University, Fresno

**Anita Dancs**, Assistant Professor of Economics, Western New England College

**William Darity, Jr.**, Duke University

**Gregory DeFreitas**, Professor of Economics, Hofstra University

**Ranjit S. Dighe**, Associate Professor of Economics, SUNY College at Oswego

**Robert Drago**, Professor of Labor Studies and Women's Studies, Penn State  
University

**Niev Duffy, Ph.D.**, President, Eastern Economic Research

**Gerald Epstein**, Professor of Economics and Co-Director, Political Economy  
Research Institute (PERI), University of Massachusetts, Amherst

**Susan F. Feiner**, Professor of Economics, University of Southern Maine

**Deborah M. Figart, Ph.D.**, Dean, School of Graduate and Continuing Studies and  
Professor of Economics, The Richard Stockton College of NJ

**Gerald Friedman**, Professor of Economics, University of Massachusetts at Amherst

**William Ganley, Ph.D.**, Professor of Economics & Finance, Buffalo State College (SUNY)

**Angel Garcia Banchs**, Professor, New School University/Universidad Central de Venezuela

**Carole A. Green**, University of South Florida

**Karl D. Gregory, Ph.D.**, Professor Emeritus of Economics, Oakland University

**Robert Guttman**, Professor and Chair of Economics, Hofstra University

**Martin Hart-Landsberg**, Professor of Economics, Lewis and Clark College

**Susan Helper**, Professor of Economics, Case Western Reserve University

**Conrad M. Herold**, Associate Professor of Economics, Hofstra University

**Adam S. Hersh, Ph.D.** Candidate, Department of Economics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

**Barbara E. Hopkins, Ph.D.**, Professor of Economics, Wright State University

**Michael D. Intriligator, Ph.D.**, Professor of Economics, Political Science, and Public Policy, University of California, Los Angeles

**Sanford M. Jacoby**, Professor of Economics, UCLA

**Mark A. Johnson**, Associate Professor of Economics, Chicago State University

**A.J. Julius**, Assistant Professor, UCLA

**Andrew I. Kohen**, Professor of Economics, James Madison University

**Benjamin Kohl**, Associate Professor and Undergraduate Chair, Temple University

**Douglas Koritz, Ph.D.**, Department of Economics & Finance and Assistant Dean for Intellectual Foundations, Buffalo State College

**Rachel Kreier**, Assistant Professor of Economics, Hofstra University

**Peter Karl Kresl**, Professor of Economics – Emeritus, Bucknell University

**Supriya Lahiri, Ph.D.**, Professor, Department of Economics, University of Massachusetts, Lowell

**Margaret Levenstein**, University of Michigan

**Chuck Levenstein**, Professor Emeritus of Work Environment, University of Massachusetts Lowell

**Victor D. Lippit**, Professor of Economics, University of California, Riverside

**Robert G. Lynch**, Professor of Economics, Washington College

**Catherine Lynde**, Professor, University of Massachusetts, Boston

**Arthur MacEwan**, Professor Emeritus of Economics, University of Massachusetts, Boston

**Mark H. Maier**, Glendale Community College

**John Mannah, Ph.D.**, Candidate, New School for Social Research

**Patrick L. Mason**, Department of Economics and Political Economy Research Institute (PERI), Florida State University

**Thomas Masterson**, Research Scholar, Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

**Thomas F. Mayer**, Professor of Sociology, University of Colorado at Boulder

**Elaine McCrate**, Professor of Economics & Women's Studies, University of Vermont

**Patrick A. McGuire**, Professor of Economics, Hobart & William Smith Colleges

**Hannah McKinney**, Professor of Economics and Business, Kalamazoo College

**Martin Melkonian**, Department of Economics, Hofstra University

**William Milberg**, Associate Professor Dept. of Economics, New School for Social Research

**Tracy Mott**, Associate Professor of Economics, University of Denver

**Jamee K. Moudud, Ph.D.**, Economics Faculty and Chair, Social Science Group, Sarah Lawrence College

**Eric Nilsson**, Professor, Economics Department, California State University, San Bernardino

**Laurie Nisonoff**, Professor of Economics School of Social Science, Hampshire College

**Aaron Pacitti**, American University

**Dimitri B. Papadimitriou**, The Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

**M. Stephen Pendleton**, Associate Professor of Economics, Buffalo State College (SUNY)

**Michael Perelman**, California State University

**Joseph Persky**, Department of Economics, University of Illinois at Chicago

**Karl Petrick**, Assistant Professor of Economics, Western New England College

**Mark A. Price, Ph.D.**, Labor Economist, Keystone Research Center

**Paddy Quick**, St. Francis College, Brooklyn

**Miguel D. Ramirez**, Professor of Economics, Trinity College

**Cordelia Reimers**, Professor Emeritus of Economics, Hunter College & The Graduate Center, CUNY

**Joseph Ricciardi**, Professor of Economics, Babson College

**Malcom Robinson, Ph.D.**, Professor of Economics, Thomas More College

**Charlie Rock**, Professor of Economics, Rollins College

**James M. Rock**, Professor Emeritus, University of Utah

**Sergio Romero, Ph.D.**, Boise State University

**Michael Rosen**, Milwaukee Area Technical College

**Hector Saez, Ph.D.**, Economist, Center for Sustaining Agriculture & Natural Resources, Northwest Research and Extension Center

**Helen Scharber**, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

**Dr. Ted P. Schmidt**, Chair & Associate Professor, Department of Economics & Finance, Buffalo State College

**Juliet B. Schor**, Boston College

**Sanford F. Schram**, Graduate School of Social Work and Social Research, Bryn Mawr College

**Joel Scott**

**Stephanie Seguino**, Associate Dean, Professor of Economics, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Vermont

**Barry Shelley**, Economist, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

**Vince Snowberger**, Economist (retired)

**Mary Huff Stevenson**, Professor of Economics, University of Massachusetts, Boston

**James B. Stewart**, Professor of Labor Studies and Employment Relations and Management and Organization, Penn State University

**Jeffrey Stewart**, Doctor, University of Cincinnati

**David Terkla**, Professor, University of Massachusetts, Boston

**Frank Thompson**, Lecturer in Economics, University of Michigan

**Chris Tilly**, Director, Institute for Research Labor and Employment and Professor of Urban Planning (IRLE)

**John E. Tower**, Professor Emeritus, School of Business Administration, Oakland University

**Leanne Ussher**, Assistant Professor of Economics, Queens College, CUNY

**Marjolein van der Veen**, Economics Instructor, Bellevue Community College

**Matias Vernengo**, Professor of Economics, University of Utah

**Paula B. Voos**, Rutgers University

**Norman J. Waitzman, Ph.D.**, Professor, Department of Economics, University of Utah

**David F. Weiman**, Alena Wels Hirschorn '58 Professor of Economics, Barnard College, Columbia University

**Thomas Weisskopf**, Professor of Economics, University of Michigan

**Christian Weller**, Professor of Public Policy, University of Massachusetts Boston

**Linda Wilcox Young**, Southern Oregon University

**Max Fraad Wolff**, Graduate Program in International Affairs, New School University

**Martin H. Wolfson**, University of Notre Dame

**June M. Zaccone**, Professor of Economics, Hofstra University

**Ajit Zacharias**, The Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

**John J. Zink**, Franklin and Marshall College

**Ben Zipperer**, University of Massachusetts, Amherst



# INSTITUTE FOR AMERICA'S FUTURE

1825 K STREET NW • SUITE 400 • WASHINGTON DC 20006 • (T) 202 955-5665 • (F) 202 955-5606 • [WWW.OURFUTURE.ORG](http://WWW.OURFUTURE.ORG)